

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

eer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/609,187	06/26/2003	Mark Lee Kenworthy	3382-64489 7623 EXAMINER	
26119 75	90 10/10/2006			
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN LLP			CHERRY, STEPHEN J	
121 S.W. SALMON STREET SUITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, O	OR 97204		2863	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/609,18	37	BEAUSOLIEL JR.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Stephen J	. Cherry	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOF WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure tr Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN as of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state of reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months aftivatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF TH f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. utory period will apply and wi rill, by statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timulation to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)∏ Tł 3)∏ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2ince this application is in condition for seed in accordance with the practice.	b) X This action is not allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro					
Disposition	of Claims		·					
4a 5)□ Cl 6)□ Cl 7)□ Cl	laim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the ap ) Of the above claim(s) is/are laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from cor						
Application	Papers							
10)□ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the e drawing(s) filed on is/are: oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including to e oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) b the correction is require	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	·O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/609,187

Art Unit: 2863

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, 30-40, 46-47, drawn to method of performing an inventory on computer with testing, comparing and determining capability rating, classified in class 702, subclass 186.
- II. Claims 18-23, drawn to method of assigning a capability comprising associating a standard presentation of the capability rating with the computer product, classified in class 702, subclass 122.
- III. Claims 24-29 and 41, drawn to method comprising creating proposed and finalized capability rating level requirements, classified in class 702, subclass 193.
- IV. Claims 42-45, drawn to method of determining rating level for software applications, classified in class 717, subclass 131.
- V. Claims 48-53, drawn to method of rating wherein the rating level requirements comprise a required set of features and performance criteria, classified in class 717, subclass 121.

Inventions I are II related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is

Application/Control Number: 10/609,187

Art Unit: 2863

separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as in an application not requiring performing an inventory on computer with testing, comparing and determining capability rating. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I are III related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as in an application not requiring performing an inventory on computer with testing, comparing and determining capability rating. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I are IV related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility such as in an application not requiring performing an inventory on computer with testing, comparing and determining capability rating. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I are V related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination V has separate utility such as in an application not requiring performing an inventory on computer with testing, comparing and determining capability rating. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/609,187 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

Inventions II are III related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as in an application not requiring assigning a capability comprising associating a standard presentation of the capability rating with the computer product. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II are IV related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility such as in an application not requiring assigning a capability comprising associating a standard presentation of the capability rating with the computer product. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II are V related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination V has separate utility such as in an application not requiring assigning a capability comprising associating a standard presentation of the capability rating with the computer product. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III are IV related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is

Art Unit: 2863

separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility such as in an application not requiring creating proposed and finalized capability rating level requirements. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III are V related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination V has separate utility such as in an application not requiring creating proposed and finalized capability rating level requirements. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV are V related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination V has separate utility such as in an application not requiring determining rating level for software applications. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a

Art Unit: 2863

claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 6

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Cherry whose telephone number is (571) 272-2272. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SJC

John Bricw gervisor aminer